

DKOU Berlin 24.10. 2024

Komplikationsvermeidung in der Hüftendoprothetik

Top Literatur

- 1 **Periprothetische Fraktur – Inzidenz, Risikofaktoren, Mortalität**
- 2 **Vorteile der Zementierung in der Frakturothetik**
- 3 **Infektionsprophylaxe mit dual beladenem antibiotikahaltigen Knochenzement**
- 4 **Implantationssyndrom: Risikofaktoren und Strategien zur Vermeidung von kardiopulmonalen Reaktionen**
- 5 **Internationale Evidenz zum zementierten Hüftschaff bei Osteoarthritis**

1 Die Periprothetische Fraktur – Inzidenz, Risikofaktoren, Mortalität

Peitgen et al. Cumulative Long-Term Incidence of Postoperative Periprosthetic Femoral Fractures Using an Uncemented Tapered Titanium Hip Stem: 26- to 32-Year Results. J Arthroplasty. 2019 Jan;34(1):77-81. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2018.08.038).

Sassoon et al. Periprosthetic Fractures: A Rising Tide of Hip Arthroplasty Failure Noted in the American Joint Replacement Registry and the Preventative Role of Cemented Stems. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 2024 [DOI:10.1016/j.arth.2024.06.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.06.038)

Gausden et al. 2024 What's New in Periprosthetic Femur Fractures? The Journal of Arthroplasty, 2023 AAHS ANNUAL MEETING SYMPOSIUM, [DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.04.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.04.037)

Wulbrand C et al. Surgery Within 24 Hours Reduces Mortality and General Complication Rates in Patients Who Have Periprosthetic Femoral Fractures at the Hip. J Arthroplasty. 2024 Aug;39(8):2104-2110.e1. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2024.02.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.02.077).

Lamb JN et al. Mortality After Postoperative Periprosthetic Fracture of the Femur After Hip Arthroplasty in the Last Decade: Meta-Analysis of 35 Cohort Studies Including 4841 Patients. J Arthroplasty. 2022 Feb;37(2):398-405.e1. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.09.006). **FREE ACCESS**

Heckmann ND et al. Strikingly High Rates of Periprosthetic Joint Infection Following Revision Surgery for Periprosthetic Fractures Regardless of Surgery Timing. J Arthroplasty. 2024 Jun;39(6):1557-1562.e2. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.12.008).

Vasireddi N et al. Early Periprosthetic Femur Fractures After Primary Cementless Total Hip Arthroplasty: High Risk of Periprosthetic Joint Infection and Subsequent Reoperation. J Arthroplasty. 2024 Apr;39(4):1083-1087.e1. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.10.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.10.037).

Miller TM et al. Anatomic and Patient Risk Factors for Postoperative Periprosthetic Hip Fractures: A Case-Control Study. J Arthroplasty. 2020 Jun;35(6):1708-1711. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.02.007).

Wyles et al. Frank Stinchfield Award: Creation of a Patient-Specific Total Hip Arthroplasty Periprosthetic Fracture Risk Calculator. J of A, Vol. 38 S2-S10 (2023) [DOI:10.1016/j.arth.2023.03.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.03.031)

Shields et al. Mortality and Financial Burden of Periprosthetic Fractures of the Femur. Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation, Vol. 5, Iss. 4, 2014, 147-153 [DOI:10.1177/2151458514542281](https://doi.org/10.1177/2151458514542281)

2 Vorteile der Zementierung in der Frakturoprothetik

Feng Y et al. Metaanalysis of 13 RCTs: Effect of cemented vs uncemented on outcomes for hemiarthroplasty in the elderly: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Medicine (Baltimore). 2024 Sep 13;103(37):e39562. [doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000039562.](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000039562)

Fernandez et al. (WHITE 5 trial) Cemented or Uncemented Hemiarthroplasty for Intracapsular Hip Fracture. 610 patients (60 years of age or older). N Engl J Med 2022; 386:521-530. [DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108337](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2108337)

Kristensen et al. Cemented or Uncemented Hemiarthroplasty for Femoral Neck Fracture? Data from the Norwegian Hip Fracture Register. 30,178 patients (age 70-104). Clin Orthop Relat Res (2019) 00:1-11. [DOI: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000000826](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.0000000000000826)

Parker et al. Cemented or uncemented hemiarthroplasty for displaced intracapsular fractures of the hip: a randomized trial of 400 patients. Bone Joint J 2020;102-B(1):11–16. [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.102B1.BJJ-2019-1041.R1](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.102B1.BJJ-2019-1041.R1)

Viberg et al. Risk of mortality and reoperation in hip fracture patients undergoing cemented versus uncemented hemiarthroplasty. 17,671 patients. Bone Joint J 2022;104-B(1):127–133. [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.104B1.BJJ-2021-0523.R1](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.104B1.BJJ-2021-0523.R1)

3 Infektionsprophylaxe mit dual beladenem antibiotikahaltigen Knochenzement

Szymiski D et al. The Prophylactic Effect of Single vs. Dual Antibiotic-Loaded Bone Cement against Periprosthetic Joint Infection Following Hip Arthroplasty for Femoral Neck Fracture: An Analysis of the German Arthroplasty Registry. Antibiotics (Basel). 2023 Apr 8;12(4):732. [doi: 10.3390/antibiotics12040732.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12040732)

Cara A et al. Prophylactic Antibiofilm Activity of Antibiotic-Loaded Bone Cements against Gram-Negative Bacteria. Antibiotics (Basel). 2022;11(2):137. [Doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11020137.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11020137) *Open Access*

Savage P et al. Arthroplasty infection rates in fractured neck of femur: single vs dual antibiotic cement. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2019;101:514–518. DOI: [10.1308/rcsann.2019.0054](https://doi.org/10.1308/rcsann.2019.0054) *Open Access*

Sprowson et al. The use of high-dose dual-impregnated antibiotic-laden cement with hemiarthroplasty for the treatment of a fracture of the hip. Bone Joint J 2016;98-B:1534–41. [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.98B11.](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.98B11)

Tyas B et al. Antibiotic resistance profiles of deep surgical site infections in hip hemiarthroplasty; comparing low dose single antibiotic versus high dose dual antibiotic impregnated cement. *J Bone Joint Infect* 2018;3:123-129. DOI: [10.7150/jbji.22192](https://doi.org/10.7150/jbji.22192) *Open Access*

Ensing GT et al. Copal bone cement is more effective in preventing biofilm formation than Palacos R-G. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008;466(6): 1492–8. DOI: [10.3390/antibiotics11020137](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11020137). *Open Access*

4 Komplikation Implantationssyndrom: Risikofaktoren und Strategien zur Vermeidung von kardiopulmonalen Reaktionen

Donaldson et al. Bone cement implantation syndrome. *Br J Anaesth.* 2009 Jan;102(1):12-22. DOI: [10.1093/bja/aen328](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen328)

Bökeler U, Bühler A, Eschbach D, Ilies C, Liener U, Knauf T. The Influence of a Modified 3rd Generation Cementation Technique and Vacuum Mixing of Bone Cement on the Bone Cement Implantation Syndrome (BCIS) in Geriatric Patients with Cemented Hemiarthroplasty for Femoral Neck Fractures. *Medicina (Kaunas).* 2022 Nov 3;58(11):1587. doi: [10.3390/medicina58111587](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58111587).

Khanuja et al. Revisiting Cemented Femoral Fixation in Hip Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2022 Jun 1;104(11):1024-1033 DOI: [10.2106/JBJS.21.00853](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.21.00853)

Rassir R, Schuiling M, Siervelt IN, van der Hoeven CWP, Nolte PA. What Are the Frequency, Related Mortality, and Factors Associated with Bone Cement Implantation Syndrome in Arthroplasty Surgery? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2021 Apr 1;479(4):755-763. doi: [10.1097/CORR.0000000000001541](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.0000000000001541).

Breusch et al. Zementierte Hüftendoprothetik – Verminderung des Fettembolierisikos mittels gepulster Druckspülung. *Orthopäde* 2000;29:578-586; Springer Verlag 2000, [online available](#).

5 Vorteile der Zementierung beim älteren Patienten mit Hüftarthrose

Abdel MP, Watts CD, Houdek MT, Lewallen DG, Berry DJ. Epidemiology of periprosthetic fracture of the femur in **32 644 primary total hip arthroplasties**: a 40-year experience. *Bone Joint J.* 2016 Apr;98-B(4):461-7. doi: [10.1302/0301-620X.98B4.37201](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.98B4.37201). Erratum in: *Bone Joint J.* 2020 Dec;102-B(12):1782. doi: [10.1302/0301-620X.102B12.BJJ-2020-00013](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.102B12.BJJ-2020-00013).

Thien et al. Periprosthetic femoral fracture within two years after total hip replacement: analysis of **437,629** operations in the nordic arthroplasty register association database NARA. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014 Oct 1;96(19):e167. doi: [10.2106/JBJS.M.00643](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.M.00643).

Mäkelä KT et al. Failure rate of cemented and uncemented total hip replacements: register study of combined Nordic database of four nations. **347,899 patients.** *BMJ* 2014; 348: f7592 DOI: [10.1136/bmj.f7592](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f7592).

Stea S et al. Multinational comprehensive evaluation of the fixation method used in hip replacement: interaction with age in context. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014 Dec 17;96 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):42-51. doi: [10.2106/JBJS.N.00463](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.N.00463).

Kelly et al. Cemented Femoral Fixation in Total Hip Arthroplasty Reduces the Risk of Periprosthetic Femur Fracture in Patients 65 Years and Older: An Analysis from the American Joint Replacement Registry. *J Arthroplasty.* 2023 Jul;38(7 Suppl 2):S351-S354. doi: [10.1016/j.arth.2023.04.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.04.039).

Ladurner et al. A Sex-Specific Implant Fixation Can Reduce Revision Rates in Total Hip Arthroplasty: Evidence From the Swiss National Joint Registry. *J Arthroplasty*. 2024 Feb;39(2):421-426. [doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.08.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.08.035)

Bunyozy et al. Has the Use of Fixation Techniques in THA Changed in This Decade? The uncemented Paradox Revisited. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* (2020) 478:697-704. [DOI: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000001117](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.0000000000001117)

Tanzer et al. Is Cemented or Cementless Femoral Stem Fixation More Durable in Patients Older Than 75 Years of Age? A Comparison of the Best-performing Stems. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* (2018) 476:1428-1437 [DOI: 10.1097/01.blo.0000533621.57561.a4](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.blo.0000533621.57561.a4)

Dale et al. Fixation, sex, and age: highest risk of revision for uncemented stems in elderly women — data from 66,995 primary total hip arthroplasties in the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. **66,995 patients, > 55 y.o.** *Acta Orthopaedica* 2020; 91 (1): 33–41 [DOI: 10.1080/17453674.2019.1682851](https://doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2019.1682851)

Omari et al. Introduction of a New Treatment Algorithm Reduces the Number of Periprosthetic Femoral Fractures After Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty in Elderly Females. **2,405 patients > 60 y.o.** *The Journal of Arthroplasty* 35 (2020) 3613e3620. [DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.06.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.06.077)

Hopman et al. Uncemented total hip arthroplasty; increased risk of early periprosthetic fracture requiring revision surgery in elderly females. **2,635 patients >75y.o.** *Journal of Orthopaedics* 25 (2021). 40–44 [DOI: 10.1016/j.jor.2021.03.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2021.03.025)

Gjertsen et al. Promoting cemented fixation of the femoral stem in elderly female hip arthroplasty patients and elderly hip fracture patients: a retrospective cohort study from the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register and the Norwegian Hip Fracture Register. **10,815 THA and 19,017 HA** *Acta Orthopaedica* 2024; 95: 130–137. 2024 [DOI: 10.2340/17453674.2024.40073](https://doi.org/10.2340/17453674.2024.40073)

Register

EPRD Endoprothesenregister Deutschland <https://www.eprd.de/de/downloads-1/berichte>

SIRIS Schweizerisches Implantat Register <https://www.siris-implant.ch/de/Downloads?category=16>

Swedish Arthroplasty Register: [Annual report 2023](#)
